

1
2
3
4
5
6 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
7 WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON
8 AT TACOMA

9 MARK SUPANICH, a single man
10 individually and as guardian for S.S., a minor
11 child,

12 Plaintiffs,

13 vs.
14 KEVIN RUNDLE and JANE DOE
15 RUNDLE, and their marital community;
16 SANDY PEDIGO, a single woman;
17 KATHRYN NELSON and JOHN DOE
18 NELSON, and their marital community;
19 JULIA KAY and JOHN DOE KAY and their
20 marital community; DOES 1-100, unknown
21 individuals,

22 Defendants.

23 CASE NO. C10-5008RBL

24 ORDER

25 THIS MATTER is before the Court on Plaintiff's "Motion for a New Trial" under Fed.
26 R. Civ. P. 59. [Dkt. #67]. The Motion was filed November 22, 2010, and in fact seeks
Reconsideration of the Court's Order Granting the Defendants' Motion for Summary Judgment,
dated October 26, 2010 [Dkt. #66].

Under Local Rule 7, Motions for Reconsideration are to be filed within ten judicial days
of the Order at issue. The Motion is therefore untimely. Additionally, Motions for
Reconsideration are disfavored, and will ordinarily be denied absent a showing of manifest

error, or a new factual or legal basis which could not have been raised earlier. Local Rule 7(h). This standard has not been met in this case, and the Court will not reconsider its prior ruling [Dkt. #66].

It is ORDERED that Plaintiffs' Motion for a New Trial [Dkt. #67] is DENIED.

Dated 1st day of December, 2010.

010.
Ronald B. Leighton
RONALD B. LEIGHTON
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE